

- [10] HARTMAN, P. and WINTNER, A., *On hyperbolic partial differential equations*. Amer. J. Math., 74, 834-864 (1952).
- [11] HÖRMANDER, L., *Linear partial differential operators*. Springer, Berlin (1963).
- [12] KISYŃSKI, J., *Sur l'existence et l'unicité des problèmes classiques relative à l'équation $s = F(x, y, z, p, q)$* . Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sect. A, 11, 73-112 (1957).
- [13] PERSSON, J., *Exponential majorization and global Goursat problems*. Math. Ann., 178, 271-276 (1968).
- [14] PERSSON, J., *An existence theorem for a general Goursat problem*. J. Differential equations, 5, 461-469 (1969).
- [15] PERSSON, J., *Non-characteristic Cauchy problems and generalized Goursat problems in \mathbb{R}^n* . J. Math. Mech., 18, 1087-1094 (1969).
- [16] PERSSON, J., *Theorems for continuous solutions of generalized Goursat problems*. Le Matematiche, 24, 108-136 (1969).
- [17] PERSSON, J., *Measurable and continuous solutions of generalized Goursat problems*. Le Matematiche, 24, 249-269 (1969).
- [18] PERSSON, J., *Generalized Goursat problems under a hypothesis of Caratheodory-Ciliberto-Zitarosa type*. Le Matematiche, 24, 313-341 (1969).
- [19] PERSSON, J., *A correction of the paper « Non-characteristic Cauchy problems and generalized Goursat problems in \mathbb{R}^n »*. J. Math. Mech., 20, 95 (1970).
- [20] PERSSON, J., *A correction of the paper « Theorems for continuous solutions of generalized Goursat problems »*. To appear in Le Matematiche (1971).
- [21] SANDVOLD, K. E., *Global solutions of generalized Goursat problems*. Cand. real. thesis, University of Oslo 1970. (In Norwegian).
- [22] WALTER, W., *Differential - und Integral - Ungleichungen*. Springer (1964).
- [23] ZITAROSA, A., *Su alcuni sistemi iperbolici a derivate parziale del primo ordine*. Ricerche Mat., 8, 240-270 (1959).

An a priori inequality concerning elliptic second order partial differential equations of variational type

MAURIZIO CHICCO (*) (**)

SUMMARY. — I give an explicit evaluation of the constant appearing in an «a priori» inequality for the solutions of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations in divergence form. An application is also considered.

1. Introduction.

About the theory of linear second order elliptic partial differential eqnations in divergence form with discontinuous coefficients, studied by G. Stampacchia in [3], the following maximum principle is known (see [1], [3]):

Suppose $u \in H^1(\Omega)$,

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i u_{x_i} v + d_i u v_{x_i}) + c u v \right\} dx \leq 0$$

for any $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $v \geq 0$ in Ω . Under suitable hypotheses on the coefficients a_{ij} , b_i , d_i , c ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) it follows

$$\text{ess sup } u \leq \max(0, \max_{\partial \Omega} u).$$

(*) Entrato in Redazione il 6-11-1971.

(**) Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del «Centro di Matematica e Fisica Teorica» del C. N. R. presso l'Università di Genova.

Given any $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in L_2(\Omega)$, this result implies uniqueness and existence of the solution w of the Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} a(w, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i v_{x_i} dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ w \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

From the closed graph theorem it follows also the existence of a constant K such that the solution w of (1) satisfies the inequality

$$\|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq K \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Nevertheless, as far as I know, the problem of finding the dependence of this constant K on the coefficients is open (except the trivial case when the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$, i.e. $a(z, z) \geq k \|z\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \forall z \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, with k positive constant).

The aim of the present work is to give an upper bound of K through the ellipticity constant ν , the norms in $L_n(\Omega)$ of the functions $b_i - d_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and the number of dimensions n . Although the value I find for K is not the best possible, the result is sufficient for some applications.

2. Notations and hypotheses.

Let Ω be an open bounded set of R^n ; we suppose for simplicity $n \geq 3$.

Let us denote by $H^1(\Omega)$ the space obtained by completing $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ according to the norm

$$(2) \quad \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{x_i}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Let $H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the following subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$:

$$H_0^1(\Omega) = \text{closure of } C_0^1(\Omega) \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$$

In $H_0^1(\Omega)$ we can assume as a norm the expression

$$(3) \quad \|u_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{x_i}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

In this space the norms (2) and (3) are equivalent, as the following lemma claims.

LEMMA 1. For any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ it results

$$\|u\|_{L_{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)} \leq S \|u_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$$

where

$$S = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n-2)\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \right\}^{1/n}.$$



PROOF: see e.g. [2] page 488. We observe that the constant S depends only on n and is the best possible.

Then we suppose

$$a_{ij} \in L_\infty(\Omega), \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} t_i t_j \geq \nu |t|^2 \quad \text{a. e. in } \Omega,$$

ν is a positive constant,

$$b_i, d_i \in L_n(\Omega), \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$c \in L_{n/2}(\Omega), \quad c - \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i)_{x_i} \geq 0 \quad \text{in the sense of distributions},$$

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i u_{x_i} v + d_i u v_{x_i}) + c u v \right\} dx.$$

3. Main result.

THEOREM 1. We suppose that the hypotheses described above are satisfied, and moreover: $f_i \in L_2(\Omega)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$(4) \quad a(w, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i v_{x_i} dx \quad \text{for any } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Then

$$(5) \quad \|w_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2^{p/2}}{\nu} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

where p is the smallest integer greater than

$$\left\{ \frac{2S}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega)} \right\}^n.$$

PROOF. The result is trivial if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\nu}{2S}$$

(where S is the constant defined in lemma 1).

In fact let us proceed as in [3]: putting $v = w$ in (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i w_{x_i} dx = a(w, w) = \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} w_{x_i} w_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i + d_i) w w_{x_i} + cw^2 \right\} dx = \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} w_{x_i} w_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) w w_{x_i} + \left[c - \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i)_{x_i} \right] w^2 \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now remembering lemma 1, the hypotheses listed above and Hölder's inequality we get from (6):

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & \frac{\nu}{2} \|w_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \nu \|w_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 - S \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega)} \|w_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i w_{x_i} dx \end{aligned}$$

whence at once

$$\|w_x\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq 2/\nu \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Now let us suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega)} > \nu/2S$. Set

$$w_1 = \max(w - k_1, 0) + \min(w + k_1, 0)$$

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ x : x \in \Omega, \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| > 0 \right\}$$

where k_1 is any positive number. Since this function w_1 belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ we can put $v = w_1$ in (4) and we get

$$(8) \quad a(w, w_1) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} w_{x_i} (w_1)_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) w_{x_i} w_1 + \left[c - \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i)_{x_i} \right] w w_1 \right\} dx.$$

We observe now that $w w_1 \geq 0$ in Ω , and in the set where $w_1 \neq 0$ it is

$$w_{x_i} = (w_1)_{x_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

So from (8) it follows

$$(9) \quad a(w, w_1) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} (w_1)_{x_i} (w_1)_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) (w_1)_{x_i} w_1 \right\} dx.$$

From (4), (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad & \nu \| (w_1)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_1)}^2 \leq S \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega_1)} \| (w_1)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \\ & + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L_2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \| (w_1)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see that the measure of Ω_1 is a continuous function of k_1 , so that $\sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega_1)}$ also is a continuous function of k_1 . Furthermore it is

$$(11) \quad \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \text{meas } \Omega_1 = 0.$$

From all these facts it follows that k_1 can be fixed in such a way that

$$(12) \quad S \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i - d_i\|_{L_n(\Omega_1)} = \nu/2.$$

From (10), (12) we get

$$(13) \quad \| (w_1)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_1)} \leq 2/\nu \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L_2(\Omega_1)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Now suppose $0 \leq k_2 < k_1$ and consider the function

$$w_2 = \min [k_1 - k_2, \max (w - k_2, 0)] + \max [k_2 - k_1, \min (w + k_2, 0)]$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ x : x \in \Omega, \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| > 0 \right\}.$$

Since w_2 also belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$, putting $v = w_2$ in (4) we get

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega_2} f_i(w_2)_{x_i} dx = \int_{\Omega_2} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} w_{x_i}(w_2)_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) w_{x_i} w_2 + \left[c - \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i)_{x_i} \right] w w_2 \right\} dx.$$

Observe that $w w_2 \geq 0$ in Ω , $w_{x_i} w_2 = (w_1 + w_2)_{x_i} w_2$ in Ω ,

$$w_{x_i} = (w_2)_{x_i} \text{ in } \Omega_2 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore from (14) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_2} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} (w_2)_{x_i} (w_2)_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) (w_2)_{x_i} w_2 \right\} dx + \\ & + \int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) (w_1)_{x_i} w_2 dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega_1} f_i(w_2)_{x_i} dx \end{aligned}$$

whence, from Hölder's inequality and lemma 1:

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| (w_2)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)}^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \cdot \| (w_2)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)} + \\ & + S \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_2)} \cdot \| (w_2)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)}^2 + \\ & + S \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_1)} \cdot \| (w_1)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_1)} \| (w_2)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The measure of Ω_2 is a continuous function of k_2 , and it is easy to see that $\lim_{k_2 \rightarrow k_1^-} \text{meas } \Omega_2 = 0$. Therefore it is possible to

choose k_2 so that

$$(16) \quad S \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_2)} = \nu/2$$

or $k_2 = 0$ if, with this value, it results

$$S \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_2)} \leq \nu/2.$$

From (12), (15), (16) it follows

$$(17) \quad \| (w_2)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)} \leq 2/\nu \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(\Omega_2)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} + \| (w_1)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_1)}.$$

Continuing the same procedure, let us consider in general some numbers

$$0 = k_p < k_{p-1} < \dots < k_q < k_{q-1} < \dots < k_1.$$

We define

$$w_q = \min [k_{q-1} - k_q, \max (w - k_q, 0)] + \max [k_q - k_{q-1}, \min (w + k_q, 0)]$$

$$\Omega_q = \left\{ x : x \in \Omega, \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial w_q}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| > 0 \right\},$$

and fix every number k_q such that

$$(18) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_q)} = \nu/2S \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, p-1)$$

$$(19) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_p)} \leq \nu/2S.$$

The integer p is therefore determined as the first index for which (19) is valid with $k_p = 0$.

Substituting $v = w_q$ in (4), with easy computations we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_q} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} (w_q)_{x_i} (w_q)_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) (w_q)_{x_i} w_q \right\} dx + \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) (w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_{q-1})_{x_i} w_q \right\} dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega_q} f_i(w_q)_{x_i} dx \\ & \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, p). \end{aligned}$$

From Schwartz-Hölder's inequality and lemma 1 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} r \| (w_q)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)}^2 &\leq S \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_q)} \cdot \| (w_q)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)}^2 + \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \cdot \| (w_q)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)} + \\ &+ S \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_j)} \cdot \| (w_j)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_j)} \cdot \| (w_q)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)} \end{aligned}$$

and from (18), (19) finally

$$(20) \quad \| (w_q)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)} \leq 2/r \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \| (w_j)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_j)} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, p).$$

This inequality permits to prove what we want.

In fact it is easy to deduce from (20) that

$$\sum_{q=1}^p \| (w_q)_x \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)} \leq \frac{2p}{r} \sum_{q=1}^p \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(\Omega_q)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

whence, observing that $w_{x_i} = \sum_{q=1}^p (w_q)_{x_i}$:

$$(21) \quad \| w_x \|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2p \sqrt{p}}{r} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

With (21) the result is proven if we evaluate p .

From the hypotheses and easy inequalities we get

$$(p-1) \frac{r}{2S} = \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega_q)} \leq (p-1)^{1-1/n} \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega)}$$

whence at once

$$p \leq 1 + \left\{ \frac{2S}{r} \sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(\Omega)} \right\}^n.$$

4. Example of applications.

Let us use the preceding result to prove the existence of the solution of a Dirichlet problem in an unbounded domain. Consider an open unbounded set D in R^n , with $R^n - \bar{D} \neq \emptyset$; let V denote the space obtained by completing $C_0^1(D)$ according to the norm

$$\| u_x \|_{L_2(D)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \| u_{x_i} \|_{L_2(D)}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

V is an Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(u, v)_V = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D u_{x_i} v_{x_i} dx.$$

From lemma 1 we get $V \subset L_{2n/(n-2)}(D)$, whence $V \subset L_2^{\text{loc}}(D)$.

Then we suppose $a_{ij} \in L_\infty(D)$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} t_i t_j \geq r |t|^2$, r positive constant, $f_i \in L_2(D)$, $b_i, d_i \in L_n(D)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $c \in L_{n/2}(D)$, $c - \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i)_{x_i} \geq 0$ in the sense of distributions,

$$a(u, v) = \int_D \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i u_{x_i} v + d_i u v_{x_i}) + c u v \right\} dx.$$

The result proven in theorem 1 yields the following

COROLLARY 1. Suppose that the hypotheses mentioned above are satisfied. Then there exists a solution $u \in V$ of the Dirichlet problem

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} a(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D f_i v_{x_i} dx & \forall v \in V, \\ u \in V. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let S_m be the ball

$$S_m = \{x : x \in R^n, |x| < m\}$$

and u_m the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} a(u_m, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{D \cap S_m} f_i v_{x_i} dx & \forall v \in H_0^1(D \cap S_m) \\ u_m \in H_0^1(D \cap S_m) & (m = 1, 2, \dots). \end{cases}$$

From the hypotheses and theorem 1 we get

$$(24) \quad \| (u_m)_x \|_{L_2(D \cap S_m)} \leq K \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(D \cap S_m)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where the constant K depends only on n , ν , $\sum_{i=1}^n \| b_i - d_i \|_{L_n(D)}$ and not on m . Since $H_0^1(D \cap S_m) \subset V$ for any $m \in N$, from (24) it follows

$$(25) \quad \| u_m \|_V \leq K \sum_{i=1}^n \| f_i \|_{L_2(D)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

From (25) there exists a subsequence, extracted from $\{u_m\}_{m \in N}$, weakly convergent in V to a function u which is a solution of problem (22), as it can easily be verified through (23).

REFERENCES

- [1] CHICCO, M. - *Principio di massimo forte per sottosoluzioni di equazioni ellittiche di tipo variazionale*. Boll. Un. Mat. It. (3) 22, 368-372 (1967).
- [2] FEDERER, H.; FLEMING, W. H. - *Normal and integral currents*. Ann. of Math. 72, 458-520 (1960).
- [3] STAMPACCHIA, G. - *Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*. Ann. Inst. Fourier 15, 189-258 (1965).

Alcuni teoremi sull'interpolazione lacunare mediante funzioni polinomiali a tratti

F. FONTANELLA (*) (**)

SUMMARY. — In this paper we consider a solution of the Turan (0,2) interpolation problem given by piecewise polynomial functions.

Introduzione.

J. Suranyi e P. Turan hanno studiato, in un loro ben noto articolo [1], il problema dell'esistenza e dell'unicità di un polinomio interpolante, di grado non superiore a $(2n-1)$, che, in n punti assegnati, assume valori assegnati. Negli stessi punti sono dati anche i valori della derivata seconda del polinomio. Per una esauriente bibliografia su tale argomento di interpolazione, detta interpolazione lacunare di tipo (0,2), si veda, ad esempio, [2].

Nella presente nota viene studiato il problema dell'interpolazione (0,2) mediante funzioni polinomiali a tratti.

1. Assegnato, nell'intervallo chiuso $I = [a, b]$, il sistema di punti

$$(1.1) \quad a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{N-1} < x_N = b, \quad N > 3,$$

che dà luogo agli intervalli $I_k = [x_k, x_{k+1}]$, di ampiezza

$$(1.2) \quad h_{N,k} \equiv h_k = x_{k+1} - x_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

(*) Entrato in Redazione il 6-11-1971.

(**) Il lavoro, portato a termine durante un soggiorno dell'A. presso la Università di Alberta, Edmonton (Alberta), è stato presentato al IX congresso dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, tenutosi a Bari dal 27-IX al 3-X 1971.